

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,737	05/04/2001	Qian Huang	8828-053-999	8082
7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
HENRY T. BRENDZEL ESQ.			DESTA, ELIAS	
P.O. BOX 574 SPRINGFIELD, NJ 07081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/849,737	HUANG ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elias Desta	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a	
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an . www.or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.		1, 1	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		HAL WACHENIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Beigi et al. in column 5, lines 20-34 shows that the distance between the probability density functions also can be computed using Kullback Leibler Distance which is the same measurement method used by the instant application. The measurement method includes inter-collection distance with a weighted sum of multiple distances (see Beigi et al., column 5, lines 35-47); hence the measurement includes distances between each member and all of the other elements with an MN different distance measures.

Further, Beigi et al. in Figs. 4A and 4B, the distance measurement is carried out element by element; i.e., collection 'A' contains M n-dimensional distributions, A1 through AM and collection 'B' contains N n-dimensional distributions B1 through BM. An array of weighted row minima W1A to WMA is computed by first calculating the distance from each A, n-dimensional distribution[s] to each B, n-dimensional distributions [s] to form a matrix distances from d11 to dMN. Hence, as noted above, Beigi et al. teaches that each Ai or An contributes one distance measure to each MN element.

In regard to claims 17 and 18: the content-based search is not specific to a particular art even though the outcome is somewhat defined.